Jurisdiction: Monona County	Title of Plan: Monona County Muli Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Pla	Date of Plan: 2014				
Local Point of Contact: Sharon Burton	Address: 1122 Pierce Street					
Title: Community Development	Sioux City, Iowa 51105					
Agency: SIMPCO						
Phone Number: 712-279-6286	E-Mail: Sharon@simpco.org					
Funding Source: FEMA/State of Iowa						
State Reviewer:	Title:	Date:				
FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:				
Steven Greene	Plan Reviewer					
Michelle Wolfe	Plan Reviewer					
Date Received in FEMA Region VII						
Plan Not Approved						
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption						
Plan Approved						
Other Status	TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE					

	NFIP Status*			
urisdiction:	Υ	NP		
1. Monona County (unincorporated population/demo data)	✓			
2. Blencoe		√		
3. Castana		✓		
4. Mapleton	✓			
5. Moorhead	✓			
6. Onawa	✓			
7. Rodney	✓			
8. Soldier	✓			
9. Turin	✓			
10. Ute		✓		
11. Whiting	✓			
School Districts				
West Monona Community School District				

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL	FEMA Region VII		
Monona County, IOWA	TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE		
2. Whiting Community School District			

* Notes: Y = Participating NP = Not Participating in NFIP S- Sanctioned R-Rescinded

SECTION 1: REGULATION CHECKLIST

INSTRUCTIONS: The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been 'Met' or 'Not Met.' The 'Required Revisions' summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is 'Not Met.' Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

Location in Plan (section and/or	Met	Not Met
page naminery		
p. 3-10		
p. 342-344		
p. 342-344		
	p. 3-10 p. 3-10 p. 3-10 p. 3-10	(section and/or page number) Met p. 3-10 p. 3-10 p. 3-10 p. 3-10 p. 3-42-344

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSM	ENT		
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	p. 38-125		
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	p. 38-125		
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	p. 38-125		
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	p. 81		
ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS			
ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY			
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction's existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	p. 126-336		
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	p.79; p.81; p. 126- 342		
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	p. 337-342		
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	p. 126-336		
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))	p. 126-336; p. 337- 342		
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))	p. 343-344		

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	Location in Plan (section and/or		Not
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)	page number)	Met	Met
ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMEN	TATION (applicable to	plan upo	lates
only)			
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development?	p. 26-37		
(Requirement §201.6(d)(3))			
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation	p. 126-337		ı
efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))			
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities?	p. 337-338		1
(Requirement §201.6(d)(3))			
ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS			
ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION			
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been	N/A; APA		
formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting			,
approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))			
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting	N/A; APA		
approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption?			,
(Requirement §201.6(c)(5))			
ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS			
ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL)	AL FOR STATE REVIE	WERS (ONLY;
NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)			
F1.			
F2.			,
ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS			

SECTION 2: PLAN ASSESSMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of the Plan Assessment is to offer the local community more comprehensive feedback to the community on the quality and utility of the plan in a narrative format. The audience for the Plan Assessment is not only the plan developer/local community planner, but also elected officials, local departments and agencies, and others involved in implementing the Local Mitigation Plan. The Plan Assessment must be completed by FEMA. The Assessment is an opportunity for FEMA to provide feedback and information to the community on: 1) suggested improvements to the Plan; 2) specific sections in the Plan where the community has gone above and beyond minimum requirements; 3) recommendations for plan implementation; and 4) ongoing partnership(s) and information on other FEMA programs, specifically RiskMAP and Hazard Mitigation Assistance programs. The Plan Assessment is divided into two sections:

- 1. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement
- 2. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement is organized according to the plan Elements listed in the Regulation Checklist. Each Element includes a series of italicized bulleted items that are suggested topics for consideration while evaluating plans, but it is not intended to be a comprehensive list. FEMA Mitigation Planners are not required to answer each bullet item, and should use them as a guide to paraphrase their own written assessment (2-3 sentences) of each Element.

The Plan Assessment must not reiterate the required revisions from the Regulation Checklist or be regulatory in nature, and should be open-ended and to provide the community with suggestions for improvements or recommended revisions. The recommended revisions are suggestions for improvement and are not required to be made for the Plan to meet Federal regulatory requirements. The italicized text should be deleted once FEMA has added comments regarding strengths of the plan and potential improvements for future plan revisions. It is recommended that the Plan Assessment be a short synopsis of the overall strengths and weaknesses of the Plan (no longer than two pages), rather than a complete recap section by section.

Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan provides a place for FEMA to offer information, data sources and general suggestions on the overall plan implementation and maintenance process. Information on other possible sources of assistance including, but not limited to, existing publications, grant funding or training opportunities, can be provided. States may add state and local resources, if available.

A. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

This section provides a discussion of the strengths of the plan document and identifies areas where these could be improved beyond minimum requirements.

Element A: Planning Process

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the planning process with respect to:

- Involvement of stakeholders (elected officials/decision makers, plan implementers, business owners, academic institutions, utility companies, water/sanitation districts, etc.);
- Involvement of Planning, Emergency Management, Public Works Departments or other planning agencies (i.e., regional planning councils);
- Diverse methods of participation (meetings, surveys, online, etc.); and
- Reflective of an open and inclusive public involvement process.

Element B: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment

In addition to the requirements listed in the Regulation Checklist, 44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans identifies additional elements that should be included as part of a plan's risk assessment. The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of:

- 1) A general description of land uses and future development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions;
- 2) The types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas; and
- 3) A description of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate.

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment with respect to:

- Use of best available data (flood maps, HAZUS, flood studies) to describe significant hazards;
- Communication of risk on people, property, and infrastructure to the public (through tables, charts, maps, photos, etc.);
- Incorporation of techniques and methodologies to estimate dollar losses to vulnerable structures;
- Incorporation of Risk MAP products (i.e., depth grids, Flood Risk Report, Changes Since Last FIRM, Areas of Mitigation Interest, etc.); and
- Identification of any data gaps that can be filled as new data became available.

Element C: Mitigation Strategy

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the Mitigation Strategy with respect to:

- Key problems identified in, and linkages to, the vulnerability assessment;
- Serving as a blueprint for reducing potential losses identified in the Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment;
- Plan content flow from the risk assessment (problem identification) to goal setting to mitigation action development;
- An understanding of mitigation principles (diversity of actions that include structural projects, preventative measures, outreach activities, property protection measures, postdisaster actions, etc);
- Specific mitigation actions for each participating jurisdictions that reflects their unique risks and capabilities;
- Integration of mitigation actions with existing local authorities, policies, programs, and resources; and
- Discussion of existing programs (including the NFIP), plans, and policies that could be used to implement mitigation, as well as document past projects.

Element D: Plan Update, Evaluation, and Implementation (Plan Updates Only)

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the 5-year Evaluation and Implementation measures with respect to:

- Status of previously recommended mitigation actions;
- Identification of barriers or obstacles to successful implementation or completion of mitigation actions, along with possible solutions for overcoming risk;
- Documentation of annual reviews and committee involvement;
- Identification of a lead person to take ownership of, and champion the Plan;
- Reducing risks from natural hazards and serving as a guide for decisions makers as they commit resources to reducing the effects of natural hazards;
- An approach to evaluating future conditions (i.e. socio-economic, environmental, demographic, change in built environment etc.);
- Discussion of how changing conditions and opportunities could impact community resilience in the long term; and
- Discussion of how the mitigation goals and actions support the long-term community vision for increased resilience.

B. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Ideas may be offered on moving the mitigation plan forward and continuing the relationship with key mitigation stakeholders such as the following:

- What FEMA assistance (funding) programs are available (for example, Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA)) to the jurisdiction(s) to assist with implementing the mitigation actions?
- What other Federal programs (National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Community Rating System (CRS), Risk MAP, etc.) may provide assistance for mitigation activities?
- What publications, technical guidance or other resources are available to the jurisdiction(s) relevant to the identified mitigation actions?
- Are there upcoming trainings/workshops (Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA), HMA, etc.) to assist the jurisdictions(s)?
- What mitigation actions can be funded by other Federal agencies (for example, U.S.
 Forest Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),
 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Smart Growth, Housing and Urban Development
 (HUD) Sustainable Communities, etc.) and/or state and local agencies?

SECTION 3:

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (OPTIONAL)

INSTRUCTIONS: For multi-jurisdictional plans, a Multi-jurisdiction Summary Spreadsheet may be completed by listing each participating jurisdiction, which required Elements for each jurisdiction were 'Met' or 'Not Met,' and when the adoption resolutions were received. This Summary Sheet does not imply that a mini-plan be developed for each jurisdiction; it should be used as an optional worksheet to ensure that each jurisdiction participating in the Plan has been documented and has met the requirements for those Elements (A through E).

	MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET											
		Jurisdictio								ts Met (Y/N)		
#	Jurisdicti on Name	n Type (city/boro ugh/ township / village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Addres s	Em ail	Phone	A. Planni ng Proces s	B. Hazard Identificat ion & Risk Assessme nt	C. Mitigat ion Strateg Y	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implement ation	E. Plan Adopt ion	F. State Requi re- ment s
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
1												
1												
1 2												
1												
1												

	MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET											
#	Jurisdicti on Name	Jurisdictio n Type (city/boro ugh/ township / village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Addres s	Em ail	Phone	A. Planni ng Proces s	Req B. Hazard Identificat ion & Risk Assessme nt	uiremen C. Mitigat ion Strateg Y	ts Met (Y/N) D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implement ation	E. Plan Adopt ion	F. State Requi re- ment s
1 5												
1 6												
1 7												
1 8												
1 9												
2												